The Roy L. Shafer Leading Edge Awards are presented annually to ASTC members and/or their employees in recognition of extraordinary accomplishments—in Visitor Experience, Business Practice, and Leadership in the Field—that not only enhance the performance of their own institutions, but also significantly advance the mission of science centers and museums.

A new award category for 2019, The Overcomer, celebrates one ASTC-member science center or museum that has successfully surmounted a major hardship—including but not limited to finances, staff, physical space, or community involvement—to achieve new life, mission, and potential. Nominations will be judged according to how the institution approached a major hardship—including but not limited to finances, staff, physical space, or community involvement—to achieve new life, mission, and potential. Nominations must 1) embody one or more ASTC core values such as collaboration; forward-looking leadership; diversity, accessibility, inclusion, and equity; creativity; and the joy of experiential learning; and 2) specifically outline the adversity the institution faced, its impact on the institution, steps the institution took to overcome the adversity, and the outcome. The nomination should primarily focus on the journey the institution took through this hardship. Consideration will also be given to the nomination’s potential to serve as a model of creative thinking and best practices for the field as a whole.

**********

**Eligibility:** An ASTC-member science center or museum in good standing that has experienced a specific instance of adversity in the past three years (since January 2016). Collaborations between ASTC-member institutions are also eligible. Each nominating institution is limited to one nomination per category per year. Nomination forms must be signed by the institution’s chief executive officer, board chairperson, or equivalent. Nominations from the home institution of a Leading Edge Awards jury member will not be considered.

**Selection:** A jury of professionals knowledgeable about the science center field, representing a broad spectrum of ASTC’s worldwide membership, will review entries and select finalists. Once detailed nomination information is submitted, the jury will review all finalists and select awardees. All decisions of the jury are final, including the decision not to choose an award recipient in a given category.

**Awards Ceremony and Recognition:** The 2019 Leading Edge Awards will be presented during the ASTC Annual Conference in Toronto, Ontario, Canada (September 20–24). Each award will consist of a crystal “Edgie” award trophy and a complimentary full conference registration to the 2019 ASTC Annual Conference (fees for special events, tours, and workshops are not included). Awardees will receive recognition in Dimensions magazine, on the ASTC website, and in ASTC’s social media. Awardees will also receive a special award-winner logo to display on their website or other promotional material, and a press release to assist them in publicizing their recognition.

**********

**Deadline:** Friday, May 31, 2019. Nomination forms and supporting materials should be emailed to awards@astc.org or mailed to Roy L. Shafer Leading Edge Awards, Association of Science-Technology Centers, 818 Connecticut Avenue NW, 7th Floor, Washington, DC 20006-2734, U.S.A.
NOMINATION FORM AND CHECKLIST

☐ Check here to confirm that the hardship or challenge was faced in the past three years (since January 2016).

☐ Institutional Information

Contact name: _____________________________________________________________

Position/title: ______________________________________________________________

Name of ASTC-member institution: _____________________________________________

Address: __________________________________________________________________

Telephone: ________________________________________________________________

Email: ________________ _____________________________________________________

Operating expenses for the ASTC-member institution’s most recently completed fiscal year: __________

☐ Applicant Information

Short description of the adversity faced: ____________________________________________

☐ Questions

Responses to all five questions below should total no more than five double-spaced pages in 12-point font. Please adhere to page limits. Jury members may not read your narrative beyond the five-page limit.

1. Describe the specific adversity faced and how it impacted the institution (including but not limited to finances, staff, physical space, or community involvement).

2. Detail the steps the institution took to overcome the adversity.

3. Describe how the institution’s journey through this challenge embodies one or more ASTC core values such as collaboration; forward-looking leadership; diversity, accessibility, inclusion, and equity; creativity; and the joy of experiential learning.

4. Provide evidence to demonstrate how the institution’s approach to adversity achieved new life, mission, and potential for the institution.

5. Describe the potential of the institution’s approach to adversity to serve as a model of creative thinking and best practices for the field as a whole.
☐ Letters of Support

Include no more than two letters of support (one page each) from independent sources. Letters of support from staff, board members, or contractors involved in the nominated project are not appropriate.

Letters of support must address the following:

- Explain your knowledge of and affiliation with the institution during its time of hardship.
- Describe why the institution represents a unique application of new ideas and best practices, demonstrating resiliency and extraordinary performance in the face of adversity.
- List the most significant aspects of the institution’s journey through adversity, as you see them.

☐ Other Documentation

Include up to three supporting items, including but not limited to PowerPoint presentations, videos, articles, or photographs (professional quality not required). Review of supporting items is at the discretion of the jury. If more than three items are submitted, additional items will be discarded. Please submit items electronically to awards@astc.org. If these materials cannot be submitted electronically, mail one set to ASTC to be made available at the jury review session.

☐ Check here to grant permission to distribute information about your nomination to the science center field. Information will not be used for commercial purposes without permission of the chief executive of the science center or museum.

☐ By checking this box, I consent to receive ASTC communications, and to ASTC storing and processing my contact and membership data to maintain communications with me. I understand that I may unsubscribe from any subscription at any time by using the "unsubscribe" link provided at the bottom of each email or by writing info@astc.org, and that I can view ASTC's privacy policy on its website at any time.

☐ This nomination is supported by the chief executive officer, chairman of the board, or equivalent representative of the nominating institution (signature below).

Print name: _____________________________ Title: _____________________________

Signature: _____________________________ Date: _____________________________
Jury Criteria Checklist

This checklist is to help guide your application focus and materials. In addition to overall eligibility criteria (see page 1), the jury will emphasize the following characteristics in choosing an award winner:

☐ Is the adversity outlined specific and significant (i.e., affecting multiple aspects of a single institution)?

☐ Has the institution’s journey through adversity resulted in tangible evidence that the institution has achieved new life, mission, and potential?

☐ Does the institution’s experience embody ASTC core values such as collaboration; forward-looking leadership; diversity, accessibility, inclusion, and equity; creativity; and the joy of experiential learning?

☐ Does the institution’s response to significant hardship show potential to serve as a model of resiliency and best practices for the field as a whole?